

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,922	10/633,922 08/04/2003		Michael B. Ball 2703.8US (93-0453.08/US)		4935
24247	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT				STONER, KILEY SHAWN	
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SALI LAKE	CIII, C	71 04110		1725	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,922	BALL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kiley Stoner	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/633,922

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,662,993 B2 IDS. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the intended use of the claimed apparatus does not patentably distinguish said claimed apparatus over the apparatus of 6,662,993 B2. In addition, it is obvious that the x-axis, y-axis and z-axis all have a direction associated with the axis.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,464,123 B2 IDS. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the intended use of the claimed apparatus does not patentably distinguish said claimed apparatus over the apparatus of 6,464,123 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,922

Art Unit: 1725

Claims 1-9 and 11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4, 6-8, 9-11, and 13-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,305,593 B1 IDS. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious that an apparatus for wire bonding would have a portion for dispensing wire, so that the wire can be directly bonded to the desired component. In addition, it is obvious that the fixed clamp of 6,305,593 B1 is of the conventional variety.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,290,116 B1 IDS. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious that the fixed clamp of 6,290,116 B1 is of the conventional variety.

Claims 1-9 and 11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 43-46, 48-49, 51-3, 64-67, 69-70, 72-74 and 76-79 of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,845 IDS. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the penetrating clamp of 5,673,845 is independently movable as claimed in the instant application. Note claims 45 and 46 which require both an independent penetrating clamp and a fixed clamp.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 10, 13-28, 30-31, 33-34, 36-39 and 41-42 of U.S. Patent No. 5,647,528 IDS. Although the conflicting

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/633,922

Art Unit: 1725

claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is obvious that the fixed clamp of 5,647,528 is of the conventional variety.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiley Stoner whose telephone number is (571) 272-1183. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on Monday-Friday at (571) 272-1171. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-6078 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Kiley Stoner A.U. 1725

Kly Flore 4/1/04